UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/606,077 | 06/25/2003 | Robert B. Schorb | 506306-0001 | 4251 |
| | 7590 07/10/200 RRISON HECKER LL | EXAMINER | | |
| ATTN: PATEN | T GROUP | NGUYEN, HIEP VAN | | |
| 1201 WALNUT STREET, SUITE 2800 KANSAS CITY, MO 64106-2150 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3626 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/10/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| | 10/606,077 | SCHORB, ROBERT B. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | HIEP NGUYEN | 3626 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAN | TION. / be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition is in condition. | nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdeduced. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers | rawn from consideration. | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami | ner. | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s) | . See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li | ents have been received. ents have been received in App riority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | lication No ceived in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/M | nmary (PTO-413) fail Date rmal Patent Application |

Application/Control Number: 10/606,077 Page 2

Art Unit: 3626

DETAILED ACTION

Status

1. Claim 1-2 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claims 1-2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the potential future claims in line 5 of the claim.

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 2 is rejected as it depends on Claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C.102(b) as being anticipated by Laurenzano (US PGPub. 20020046066.)

Application/Control Number: 10/606,077 Page 3

Art Unit: 3626

7. With respect to Claim 1, Laurenzano teaches a method for managing a retention of risk by an entity comprising the steps of:

- a. determining an estimate for an amount of future claims that could be brought against the entity ('066; Para 0007)
- b. calculating a cost of insurance the entity should obtain to alleviate the risk due to the potential future claims ('066; Para 0020);
- c. determining the entity's optimum amount of retained risk ('066; Para 022); and
- d. providing a funding mechanism to pay a portion of claims for which the entity has retained responsibility ('066; Para 0017).
- 8. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laurenzano (US PGPub 20020046066) in view of Cuervo (US. 5,025,138.)
- 9. With respect to claim 2, Laurenzano does not disclose a line of credit in Claim 1.

 Cuervo further discloses the method for managing the retention of risk according to claim 1, wherein the funding mechanism used to pay the claims is a dedicated line of credit ('800; Col. 2, lines 53-66.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Laurenzano and Cuervo to manage risk of loss by providing an amount of unrealized economic benefit ('0066, Abstract) through a line of credit to the entity ('138, Abstract.)

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HIEP NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)270-5211. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 7:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Gilligan can be reached on (571) 272-6770. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/HIEP NGUYEN/ Examiner, Art Unit 3626 Application/Control Number: 10/606,077 Page 5

Art Unit: 3626

/C Luke Gilligan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3626